PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PST-16952/36	FOR FURTHER ACTION	Priority date (day/month/year) 14 January 2004 (14.01.2004)		
International application No. PCT/US2005/001295	International filing date (day/month/year) 14 January 2005 (14.01.2005)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant THE PENN STATE RESEARCH FOUNDATION				

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 9 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
	In the attached sheets, any refere to the international preliminary r	ence to the written opinion of treport on patentability (Chapte	he International Searching Authority should be read as a reference r I) instead.	
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Box No. Π	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	on with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under applicability; citations and	Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement	
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	national application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	e international application	
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).			
			Date of issuance of this report 17 July 2006 (17.07.2006)	
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes			Authorized officer Philippo Rocamol	
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland			Philippe Becamel	
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70			e-mail: pt12@wipo.int	

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING ACTA	Onlit	1		
То:	6/10	WIPO	DLA ANG 5002	
see form PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
		(PCT Rule	e 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	
		Date of malling (day/month/year) see form PCT/I	SA/210 (second sheet)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. PCT/US2005/001295	International filing date (4	ay/month/year) Priority da 14.01.20	ite <i>(day/month/year)</i> 004	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or H01Q15/00	both national classification	and IPC		
Applicant THE PENN STATE RESEARCH	FOUNDATION			-

1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:		
	☐ Box No. I Basis of the opinion		
☐ Box No. II Priority		Priority	
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applica			
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or induapplicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited		Certain documents cited .	
	☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the International application		
		· ·	

FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl

Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Van Dooren, G

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2952



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/001295

	Box No	o. I Basis of the opinion	
1.	With re	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
	lar	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following iguage—, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search inder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With re	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	a. type of material:		
		a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. form	at of material:	
		in written format	
		in computer readable form	
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:	
		contained in the international application as filed.	
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.	ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional ipies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.	
4.	Additio	onal comments:	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/001295

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:			
	the entire international application,		
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 43,44		
because:			
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):		
⊠	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 43,44 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):		
	see separate sheet		
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.		
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.		
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:		
	the written form		has not been furnished
			does not comply with the standard
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished
			does not comply with the standard
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.		
	☐ See separate sheet for further details		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/001295

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, Inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2,3,5,7-24,27-35,37-42

No: Claims

1,4,6,25,26,36

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

3,5,12,22-24,37-42

No: Claims

1,2,4,6-11,13-21,25,26,36

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-42

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1. Claims 43,44 contain references to the description and/or the drawings. According to Rule 6.2(a) PCT, claims should not contain such references except where absolutely necessary, which is not the case here.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: US 2003/034933 A1 (FRENKEL AVRAHAM) 20 February 2003 (2003-02-20)
 - D2: DAWEI ZHANG ET AL: "OPTICAL CONTROL OF MILLIMETER WAVE HIGH TC SUPERCONDUCTING QUASI-OPTICAL BANDPASS FILTERS" APPLIED PHYSICS LETTERS, AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS. NEW YORK, US, vol. 58, no. 14, 8 April 1991 (1991-04-08), pages 1560-1562, XP000209763 ISSN: 0003-6951
 - D3: US 2002/167457 A1 (MCKINZIE WILLIAM E ET AL) 14 November 2002 (2002-11-14)
 - D4: L. DAI ET AL: "sensor and sensor arrays based on conjugated polymers and carbon nanotubes" PURE APPL. CHEM., vol. 74, no. 9, 2002, pages 1753-1772, XP002340646
- 2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 2.1 Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document): a frequency selective surface (10) comprising a periodically replicated unit cell (16), the unit cell including a chemoresistive material having an electrical conductivity that changes in a presence of an analyte (cf. §16).
- 3. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the

subject-matter of claim 13-16 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

- 3.1 The use of an FSS as specified in claims 13-16 is considered to be ordinary practice, well-known to the person skilled in the art.
- 4. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 17 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 4.1 Document D2 discloses (cf. fig. 3): a process for detecting an analyte, the process comprising:
 - a) providing an apparatus including a resistive material, the resistive material having an electrical conductivity that changes on exposure to the analyte;
 - determining an electromagnetic property of the apparatus, the electromagnetic property being correlated with the electrical conductivity of the chemoresistive material; and
 - c) detecting the analyte using the electromagnetic property.

The subject-matter of claim 17 therefore differs from this known process in that the resistive material is a chemoresistive material.

The solution proposed in claim 17 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT), because the use of chemoresistive materials is well-known, see for example D4.

- 5. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 25 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 5.1 As claim 25 is identical to claim 1, also claim 25 is not novel, cf. point 2 and 2.1.
- 6. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 32 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 6.1 The argumentation provided in point 4 and 4.1 applies to claim 32 mutatis mutandis.

- 7. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 36 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 7.1 Document D3 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document): an apparatus including a frequency selective surface FSS (400), the FSS comprising a pattern of conductive patches (410), the conducting patches being selectively interconnectable by a matrix of independently addressable switches (412).
- 8. Dependent claims 2, 4, 6-11, 17-21, 26 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty (claims 4,6,26) and/or inventive step (claims 2,7-11,17-21) (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).
- 9. The technical features present in claims 3, 5, 12, 22 (23), 24, 37 (38-40), 41, 42 appear to satisfy the requirements of the PCT for what concerns novelty and inventive step.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- 1. Although claims 1,25,32,36 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.
- 2. Throughout the set of claims the formulation 'chemoresistive material' is not clear, as this is considered not to belong to the customary vocabulary of the person skilled in the art.
- 3. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1-D4 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2005/001295

* * * *